Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,525	ENDOU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert D. Harlan	1713
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Robert D. Harlan.	(3)	
(2) Stefen Uwe Koschmieder.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-49</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Carre, EP 0 643 091 A1</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Applicants' Representative suggested to replace the "phosphate-based glass" limitation with a limitation requiring surface-treatment of the the phosphate-based glass prior to mixing the glass with a thermoplastic resin.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•		
		•
	i ^	\wedge

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required